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1. INTRODUCTION

Porcelain tile is a ceramic product that stands out for its low water absorption and high mechanical strength. In general, the properties of the product result from its low porosity due to the processing conditions (high degree milling of raw materials, high force compaction and sintering temperature), and the potential of the raw materials to form liquid phases during sintering. However, in the case of polished porcelain, the sealed pores remaining after the manufacturing process may impair some of its technical properties, such as its stain resistance.\textsuperscript{1,2,4}

Sealed pores are the result of incomplete densification of the material during sintering, and depend basically on the microstructure of the green compact and on the thermal cycle adopted. With respect to the green compact, the main variables that may interfere in the characteristics of the porous microstructure are particle and grain size distribution, morphology, humidity and pressing pressure.\textsuperscript{5,6}

There are basically two types of pores that make up the microstructure of the green compact: intragranular pores (spaces between the particles that comprise the grains) and intergranular pores (set of voids that form during packing of the granules). Another type of pore that can also frequently be found inside larger grains results from the spray-drying process and is referred to by some authors as “hollow”.\textsuperscript{7} This type of “hollow” can be classified as a kind of intergranular pore in view of its similar size and characteristics. Experiments conducted by Beltrán\textsuperscript{7} indicated that part of the medium-sized or large sealed pores in the microstructure of the end product are probably associated with the use of spray-dried mixtures, more specifically with the characteristic “hollow” granules of the agglomerates that constitute these mixtures. However, the author’s results were not conclusive, so they require careful interpretation. The author studied extreme conditions, evaluating the influence of the use of distinct...
specific granulometric ranges on the porosity of the final product (very fine granules obtained after grinding in a mortar and pestle, or granules with a very narrow range of diameters), which are completely unlike the viable industrial conditions for the use of powder for pressing.

The present work, in contrast, seeks to correlate the porous microstructure of the green compact with the closed porosity and stain resistance of the end product. To this end, alterations were made in the green compact microstructure through the preselection of granules of a standard spray-dried mixture, originating distinct mixtures with varied granule sizes. These alterations were made while the remaining process variables were kept constant, thus allowing for an evaluation solely of the effect of the granule size distribution on the final porous microstructure.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

For this study, an industrial spray-dried mixture was selected, called STD, which is currently used in the manufacture of polished porcelain tile.

2.1. Preselection and characteristics of the spray-dried granules

Initially, part of the spray-dried powder of the STD mixture was dried at 110°C for 24 hours, and then separated by sieving into three granulometric fractions: < 150 µm (FINE), 150-300 µm (MEDIUM) and > 300 µm (COARSE), using a vibrator mechanical under controlled conditions. The morphology of the grains comprising these fractions was evaluated in a digital optical microscope (Olympus MIC-D). Four granulometric compositions were then prepared with the spray-dried powder (MM, M, G and F), each containing different percentages of preselected granules, as shown in Table I. For each granulometric composition was weighed in analytical balance, 500 g of the spray-dried powder according to the percentages indicated in Table I. For purposes of comparison, the STD standard sample was also evaluated. In addition, the possibility of heterogeneities in the chemical composition of the spray-dried granules of different sizes was also checked, since that might affect the results of this work, for the presence of microregions with distinct compositions can cause gradients of fusibility and densification during sintering, thereby altering the characteristics of the resulting pores. Thus, the FINE and COARSE granulometric fractions were analyzed chemically by X-ray fluorescence (Philips MagiX Spectrometer). The crystalline phase compositions of STD were qualitatively determined by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) by using a Siemens Kristalloflex equipment.

2.2. Characterization of the green microstructure

Several 6.0 x 2.0 cm test specimens of each granulometric composition were prepared under the following conditions: spray-dried powder containing 0.060 kg water/kg of dry mixture, pressured under a uniaxial pressure of 40 MPa. The test specimens were oven-dried at 110°C up to constant weight, after which their apparent density was evaluated by the geometric method and their porosity by mercury porosimetry (Micromeritics AutoPore III).

2.3. Characterization of the microstructure after sintering

The choice of sintering temperature of the MM, M, G, and F test specimens was based on the previously determined variation of linear shrinkage and water absorption with sintering temperature of the STD spray-dried mixture (Fig. 1), under the conditions described in item 2.2. Because the maximum densification of STD occurs at 1210°C, this sintering temperature was selected for the other compositions.

The oven-dried test specimens were fired in an electric laboratory furnace using a heating cycle of approximately 55 min, with heating rate of 45°C/min, 8-min threshold at 1210°C, and cooling rate of 60°C/min. After sintering, the following values were determined: Water absorption (WA) by the water boiling method for two hours, following the ISO 10545-3 standard;* Apparent porosity ($\varepsilon_A$) by the Archimedes principle; Total porosity ($\varepsilon$) and sealed porosity ($\varepsilon_F$), based on equations:

$$\varepsilon = 1 - \frac{\rho_C}{\rho_R} \quad (1)$$

$$\varepsilon_F = \varepsilon - \varepsilon_A \quad (2)$$

![Fig. 1 – Variation of Linear Shrinkage (LS) and Water Absorption (WA) with sintering temperature of the STD spray-dried mixture.](image-url)
where \( \rho_c \) is the apparent density and \( \rho_R \) corresponds to the absolute density (determined by helium gas pycnometry –x Quantachrome Ultrapycnometer 1000). Were used eight specimens for each sample for the tests to determination of the porosity.

The sintered test specimens were then sandpapered and polished using an automatic system with water, composed by a rotary disc and a series of five sandpapers, in order to simulate industrial polishing. The test specimens were set and the time spent in each sandpaper was 15 min, what ensure the obtained of surfaces prepared under the same conditions. The characteristics of the samples surface microstructure after polishing were determined from digital images (micrographs) obtained by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Leo Stereoscan 440). The analysis of the digital images using Image-Pro 4.5 Plus software allowed for the determination of the percentage corresponding to the area covered by pores in relation to the total area of the analyzed images, the distribution of their diameters, and the morphological aspects (aspect ratio).

Concomitantly, the polished test specimens were subjected to the stain test, according to the procedures of the ISO 10545-14 standard. The staining agents used were chrome green (oily solution containing 40 wt% of Cr\(_2\)O\(_3\) - recommended by the standard) and soil (aqueous solution containing 50 wt% of red soil - simulating everyday conditions).

The staining agents were applied dropwise so that they would spread in a flat circular area, remaining in contact with the surface of the tile for 24 h. After this time, the test specimens were subjected successively to the following cleaning steps:

a) Washing of the surface with warm water;  
b) Manual cleaning with a neutral detergent;  
c) Manual cleaning with an alkaline abrasive paste;  
d) Immersion for 24 h in chloric acid (3% in volume).

After the first cleaning step (a), the tiles were examined visually and, if the stains had not been removed, they were subjected to the next cleaning step (b, c, and d) if necessary. According to the ease with which the stains were removed and the cleaning test performed, the tiles were classified according to their cleanability, as follows:

1 – unremovable stain;  
2 – stain removed with chloric acid;  
3 – stain removed with alkaline abrasive paste;  
4 – stain removed with kitchen detergent; and  
5 – stain removed with warm water.

The intensity of the stains was evaluated by the difference in coloring, \( \Delta E^* \), between the surface before staining and after cleaning the area to which the staining agent was applied. The values of \( \Delta E^* \) were determined by diffuse reflectance spectrophotometry (Konica Minolta CM –2600d), applying an observation pattern of 10º and standard D65 light (equivalent to daylight), based on the following equation:

\[
\Delta E^* = \sqrt{(L_0^* - L^*)^2 + (a_0^* - a^*)^2 + (b_0^* - b^*)^2}
\]

where \( L_0^* \) and \( a^* \) and \( b^* \) are the chromatic coordinates of the specimens before staining and after the cleaning procedures, respectively. The higher the value of \( \Delta E^* \) the stronger the stain observed on the surface.

Were used five specimens for each sample for the stain resistance assay and colour measurements.

Based on the results of the experiments, an evaluation was made of the real influence of the granulometric distribution of the spray-dried powder on the porous microstructure after sintering and, hence, on the stain resistance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Characteristics of the spray-dried powder

Table II shows the results of the chemical analysis of the preselected FINE and COARSE granules. Note that the chemical compositions are very similar, without appreciable differences, thus eliminating the possibility of interference of this variable on the results of this work. Fig. 2 presents the mineralogical composition of STD mixture determined

| Table II – Chemical analysis of the STD spray-dried powder. |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| Oxides (%) | FINE | COARSE |
| P.F. | 3.37 | 3.43 |
| SiO\(_2\) | 56.91 | 57.16 |
| Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) | 24.42 | 24.65 |
| Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| TiO\(_2\) | 0.10 | 0.09 |
| CaO | 1.07 | 1.08 |
| MgO | 0.43 | 0.42 |
| K\(_2\)O | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Na\(_2\)O | 2.42 | 2.49 |
| Li\(_2\)O | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| ZrO\(_2\) | 10.16 | 9.75 |
| P\(_2\)O\(_5\) | 0.15 | 0.15 |

Fig. 2 – XRD of STD mixture.
by XRD. The crystalline phases present in the STD mixture are: α-quartz, kaolinite, albite, muscovite, microcline, zircon, calcite and dolomite.

Figure 3 indicates that the morphology of the granules varies according to their size. The smaller the granule the greater the tendency for a spherical shape and the larger the surface area. Thus, the FINE granules, Fig. 3(a), have a regular morphology with a greater tendency to be spherical, while the COARSE grains, Fig. 3(c), show an irregular morphology and a smaller specific surface area.

3.2. Green microstructure

After pressing, the values of apparent density, $\rho_o$, obtained for the test specimens revealed that the granulometric compositions evaluated showed very similar degrees of compaction (Table III), indicating that the total volume of pores generated in the green compact is the same, independently of the initial granule size distribution. This was confirmed by the results of the porosimetry analysis (Fig. 4), which shows that the volume of Hg penetrated into the test specimens of all the granulometric compositions tested here was practically the same.

Although the pore volume is constant and the distribution of Hg penetration diameters is similar (Fig. 4), it is important to point out that there are some differences between the green compacts analyzed. As can be seen, only M, G and STD have pores with penetration diameters of 1.0 to 4.0 µm, which may be associated with the higher percentage of COARSE granules used in these compositions (Table I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Granulometric compositions</th>
<th>$AA$ (%)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_A$ (%)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_F$ (%)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon^*$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.11</td>
<td>8.06 ± 0.20</td>
<td>8.32 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.08</td>
<td>8.13 ± 0.11</td>
<td>8.44 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.12</td>
<td>8.18 ± 0.17</td>
<td>8.42 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.04</td>
<td>8.32 ± 0.18</td>
<td>8.52 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.74 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Final microstructure

Table IV presents the results of the characterization of the test specimens’ porosity after sintering. Note that the values of WA, $e_A$, $e_y$, and $e$ obtained for all the evaluated compositions are very similar, suggesting that the pores have the same volumetric fraction. However, it should be pointed out that MM, M, G, and F have a slightly higher total pore volume than that of the STD standard.

Under the conditions found here, in which the microstructures of the green compacts are similar (pore volume) and porosity after sintering is constant (total pore volume, apparent porosity and sealed pores), the only possible effect caused by the use of different granulometric distributions, according to the methodology adopted here, would be related to the size and morphology of the pores in the final microstructure. About this point, it is worth emphasizing that two samples can present the same pore volume but distinct size distributions, which may suffice to modify their surface properties, such as stain resistance. To verify the validity of this hypothesis, the fired test specimens were polished and then analyzed by SEM and evaluated with respect to their stain resistance.

3.3.1. STAIN RESISTANCE

Table V lists the results of the stain resistance test, indicating similar behaviors for the evaluated compositions (MM, M, G, and F) and STD. Stains are still visible even after the most aggressive cleaning process with chloric acid (3% v/v), and were classified as unremovable, belonging to Class 1 cleanability. The only difference found was in regard to the green chrome staining agent applied to the test specimens of the MM composition, which was removed by cleaning with abrasive alkaline paste, and was classified as 3 (the higher the cleanability class the easier the removal of the stain and the less aggressive the cleaning process whereby the stain can be removed).

Although a large part of the test specimens presented unremovable stains after the cleaning procedures, the intensity of the stains showed visible differences, with the MM and F compositions showing stains of lesser and greater intensity, respectively (Fig. 5).

The use of a diffuse reflectance spectrophotometer to evaluate the intensity of stains or the degree of cleanability of the product, a quantitative evaluation, was considered very promising since, besides standardizing the evaluation of the surface after the stain tests, it eliminates possible deviations caused by the qualitative visual analysis recommended by the ISO 10545-14 standard.10

3.3.2. IMAGE ANALYSIS

Eight micrographs were recorded at random points of the surface of each polished test specimen (Fig. 6), which were then treated and analyzed using Image-Pro 4.5 Plus software. The results of the image analysis (pore areas, mean diameter and aspect ratio) are presented in Table VI and in Figures 7 and 8, respectively.

The image analysis revealed that the pore size distribution (Fig. 7) and the aspect ratio (Fig. 8) of the test specimens of MM, M, G, F, and STD were very similar, confirming
their similar behavior in the staining test and results of the characterization of the porosity after sintering (Table IV). However, the following points deserve to be mentioned:

- All the compositions have very similar percentages of pores with diameters ranging from 5 to 20 μm (critical for staining), which justifies their similar stain resistance behavior;11

- In general, the pores of F have a more irregular shape than those of the other compositions, which may be due to the type of pore formed when a high percentage of FINE granules are used.

In this case, where the pore size distribution is similar, the area of pore coverage seems to contribute to the intensity of the visible stain. As Table VI indicates, the areas corresponding to the pores of MM (weaker stain) and F (stronger stain) are the smallest and the largest, respectively, among all the analyzed samples. Fig. 5 confirms this behavior. Note that the values of ΔE increase as the area of pores increases, i.e., the stain is stronger where the area of pores is the largest.

It should be noted that the analysis of the digital images reveals the pore coverage area in relation to the area of the image, and not the volume occupied by the pores. In some

Fig. 6 – SEM micrographs of the surface of the polished test specimens: (a) MM, (b) M, (c) G, (d) F, and (e) STD.
cases where the pores present a regular spherical morphology distributed uniformly throughout the material, the pore area may be related with the volume to a certain degree. In the case under study, this relation is not possible since the pore morphology is irregular, and the depths of the pores cannot be determined by this type of two-dimensional analysis. Therefore, comparing the compositions evaluated here, the differences in pore areas may be attributed to a possible pore orientation, since the volume was very similar (Table IV). In the case of the compositions with larger pore areas (F), the pore depth should be shallower. On the other hand, the compositions with smaller pore areas (MM) should be constituted of deeper pores. The remaining compositions (M, G and STD) have intermediary characteristics between the two aforementioned situations.

3.4. Relationship between the granulometry of the spray-dried powder and the porous microstructure after sintering

Several aspects should be taken into consideration for a better understanding of the results of this work: 12

a) Composition with high FINE (F) content: in this case, the initial arrangement of the FINE granules favors the formation of a large quantity of intergranular pores after pressing. After sintering, the microstructure is composed of a high number of pores, the sum of whose areas justifies the larger area of coverage in relation to the area of the image (stronger stain).

b) Composition with high MEDIUM (MM) content: corresponds to the better condition found: weaker stain. The use of a high content of granules medium contributed to reduce the area corresponding to the surface pores, due to a possibly oriented of the pores (greater depth).

c) Compositions with high COARSE contents (G and STD): Coarse+ granules generate large pore volumes in powder ready for pressing, because the irregular morphology prevents the reduction of pore volume. Therefore, green compact shows intergranular pores with large diameters, composed basically of residual pores and “hollow” granules. The final porous microstructure has intermediary characteristics between (b) and (c).

Composition M presents properties between (a) and (b).

4. CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study led to the following conclusions:

• The granulometric distribution of the spray-dried mixture STD in the conditions evaluated in this work does not interfere significantly in the pore size distribution of the material after sintering. Distinct granulometric compositions led to the same porosity profile in the sintered product.

• The only effect cause by the use of granules with distinct characteristics was on the area of surface pores, which was quantified by image analysis. In this case, the area occupied by surface pores is directly related to the intensity of the stains, i.e., the larger the area the more visible the stain.

• The use of the diffuse reflectance spectrophotometer proved efficient in the evaluation of staining since, besides quantifying the intensity of the stains, it reduced the errors resulting from the visual analysis recommended by the ISO 10545-14 standard. 10 The values of ΔE* obtained showed a good correlation with the porosity.

• Although some authors suggest that “hollow” granules are responsible for the formation of porous microstructures that are more susceptible to staining, no evidence was found in this study to confirm this hypothesis.

![Fig. 7 – Distribution of surface pore diameters.](image1)

![Fig. 8 – Distribution of surface pore aspect ratio.](image2)
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